# Pila's proof of the André-Oort conjecture - pt.1

#### Blaise Boissonneau PhD student of Franziska Jahnke

WWU, Münster

February 9, 2021

## Goal

#### Conjecture (André-Oort)

Let X be a Shimura variety and let  $\Sigma$  be a set of special points in X. Let V be the Zariski closure of  $\Sigma$ . Then the irreducible components of V are special subvarieties.

In 2011, Pila proved AOC in the case where X is a product of modular curves, elliptic curves, and copies of  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$  (see [Pil11]). We will expose his proof in this talk and in next week's talk, following Scanlon's formulation (see [Sca17], sec. 5.2).

# The *j*-invariant

We recall some properties of the function  $j : \mathbb{H} \mapsto \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$ :

- ▶ *j* is surjective.
- j is invariant under the action of SL<sub>2</sub>(ℤ), and induces an isomorphism ℍ/ SL<sub>2</sub>(ℤ) ≅ A<sup>1</sup>(ℂ).
- ▶ j is complex analytic or real analytic when we identify C with R<sup>2</sup> in the usual way.
- ▶ For any  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we consider the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$ . We have  $E_{\tau} \cong E_{\tau'}$  iff  $j(\tau) = j(\tau')$ .

With help of the last fact, we can consider  $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$  as a moduli space of elliptic curves, that is, to each point  $j(\tau) \in \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds an isomorphism class of elliptic curves. When seen as a moduli space, we call  $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$  the *j*-line.

The *j*-line is the prototypical example of a modular curve.

## Modular curves

### Definition (congruence subgroups)

- ► The principal congruence subgroup of order *n* is  $\Gamma(n) = \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mod n\}.$
- A congruence subgroup is any subgroup Γ of SL<sub>2</sub>(Z) containing Γ(n) for some n.

Recall that  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H} = \{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(\tau) > 0 \}$  by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \tau \to \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$$

### Proposition (C.13.12 in [Sil86])

Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup, then there exist a smooth affine curve  $Y_{\Gamma}$  and a complex analytic function  $j_{\Gamma} : \mathbb{H} \mapsto Y_{\Gamma}$  invariant under the action of  $\Gamma$  and inducing an isomorphism  $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma \cong Y_{\Gamma}$ . We call  $Y_{\Gamma}$  a modular curve and  $j_{\Gamma}$  its canonical covering. Note that if  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $j_{\Gamma} = j$  and  $Y_{\Gamma} = \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$ .

# Special points

Fix a modular curve Y and consider its canonical covering  $j_{\Gamma} : \mathbb{H} \mapsto Y$ . We can then see Y as a weak moduli space of elliptic curves: to each  $y \in Y$  corresponds  $\{E_{\tau} \mid \tau \in \mathbb{H}, j_{\Gamma}(\tau) = y\}$ . Since  $j_{\Gamma}(\tau) = j_{\Gamma}(\tau')$  iff  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are  $\Gamma$ -conjugates, those classes only contain isomorphic elliptic curves; but some isomorphic elliptic curves might lie in different classes. When seen as a weak moduli space, we call Y the  $j_{\Gamma}$ -line.

#### Definition (Special points)

- If Y is the j<sub>Γ</sub>-line, then we call y ∈ Y special if the corresponding class of elliptic curves has Complex Multiplication (CM).
- If A is an abelian variety, then we call a ∈ A special if it is a torsion point. This include the case where A is an elliptic curve or C<sup>×</sup>.

A point  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  is special if x and y are both special.

## Special subvarieties of modular curves

Let  $X = Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$  be a product of modular curves. Fix a partition S of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $s \in S$  is not a singleton, fix  $g_i \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$  for each  $i \in s$ . We call a subvariety Z of X special if  $Z = \prod_{s \in S} Z_s$ , each  $Z_s$  is a subvariety of  $\prod_{i \in s} Y_i$ , and:

- If s is a singleton, Z<sub>s</sub> is a singleton containing only a special point;
- If s is not a singleton,  $Z_s = (\prod_{i \in s} j_{\Gamma_i} \circ g_i)(\mathbb{H}).$

In the case where  $X = \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{C})$ , then special subvarieties can equivalentely be defined with the help of modular polynomials  $\Phi_n$ :

#### Proposition (folklore (Hilbert?))

For each n > 0, there exists a minimal polynomial  $\Phi_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  such that  $\Phi_n(j(n\tau), j(\tau)) = 0$ .

A special subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{C})$  is then defined by equations of the form  $x_k = z$  for z special or  $\Phi_n(x_i, x_j) = 0$ .

## Pila's theorem

#### Definition (special subvarieties of abelian varieties)

Let A be an abelian variety, let  $B \ge A$  be an algebraic subvariety, and let  $a \in A$  be special (i.e. torsion); then we call a + B a special subvariety.

For a product of the form  $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n \times A$ , special subvarieties are  $Z \times B$  with Z special in  $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$  and B special in A.

#### Theorem (Pila – AOC)

Let  $X = Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n \times E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \times (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{\ell}$  with  $Y_i$  modular curves and  $E_i$  elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ . Let  $Z \subset X$  be an irreducible subvariety. If the set of special points in  $Z(\mathbb{C})$  is Zariski dense in  $Z(\mathbb{C})$ , then Z is a special subvariety.

# Steps of the proof

The proof uses o-minimality in a similar manner as for the proof of the Manin-Mumford conjecture.

- Construct a covering π : 3 → Z(C), definable in an o-minimal structure, such that the preimage of special points are *nice*.
- Characterize the algebraic part of 3 with the help of a special locus.
- ► Count the rational points in the transcendental part of 3 by applying Pila-Wilkie, conclude that Z(C) must have only finitely many special points outside of its special locus.
- Now the set of special points in the special locus is dense in Z, giving us that Z is a special subvariety.

Recall that the following structures are o-minimal:

- $\mathbb{R}$  as a pure ordered field,
- $\mathbb{R}_{exp}$  with a symbol for the *real* exponential map,
- R<sub>an</sub> with a symbol for each analytic function *restricted to the interval* [0, 1],
- $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$  with restricted analytic functions and full exponential.

# Covering abelian varieties

This was done in the proof of Manin-Mumford. We recall the details:

- Since A(ℂ) is a complex Lie group, it has an exponential map exp : 𝔅 → A(ℂ).
- ▶ ker(exp) is a full lattice in 𝔅, so we can find an ℝ-basis of 𝔅 in ker(exp).
- We see exp as going from ℝ<sup>2g</sup> where g = dim(A(ℂ)) and restrict it to the fundamental domain [0, 1)<sup>2g</sup>.
- The restricted exp is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ .
- Special points of A, i.e. torsion points, correspond exactly to rational points of [0, 1)<sup>2g</sup>.

We will use this covering for products of elliptic curves. For  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , we use the much simpler map  $z \to e^{2\pi i z}$ , restricted to the band  $0 \leq \Re(z) < 1$ , definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

As for modular curves, the covering  $j_{\Gamma} : \mathbb{H} \mapsto Y$  is very nice. We need to restrict it to a fundamental domain. We will do it for  $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$ , but the same goes for any modular curve Y.

Covering modular curves Consider  $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\frac{1}{2} \leq \Re(z) < \frac{1}{2} \& |z| \ge 1 \right\}$ :

Recall that  $j(\tau) = J(e^{2\pi i\tau})$  with  $J(q) = \frac{1+744q+\cdots}{q}$ . •  $\exp: \tau \to e^{2\pi i\tau} = e^{-2\pi\Im(\tau)}(\cos(2\pi\Re(\tau)) + i\sin(2\pi\Re(\tau)))$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$  when restricted to  $\mathcal{F}$ . •  $|e^{2\pi i\tau}| = e^{-2\pi\Im(\tau)} \leqslant e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}}$ , thus  $\exp(\mathcal{F})$  is included in the square  $S = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\Re(z)| \leqslant e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}} \& |\Im(z)| \leqslant e^{-\pi\sqrt{3}} \right\}$ . •  $J|_S$ , seen as a function of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$  as it is the quotient of a restricted analytic function by a polynomial. In the end,  $j|_{\mathcal{F}} = J|_S \circ \exp|_{\mathcal{F}}(2\pi i\tau)$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

### Prespecial points

By definition, preimages by  $j_{\Gamma}$  of special points of a modular curve Y are exactly  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $E_{\tau}$  has CM.

#### Proposition

 $E_{\tau}$  has CM, i.e it has a non-trivial endomorphism, iff  $[\mathbb{Q}(\tau):\mathbb{Q}] = 2.$ 

Now we cover 
$$X = Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n \times E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \times (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{\ell}$$
 with  

$$\Pi = j_{\Gamma_1} \times \cdots \times j_{\Gamma_n} \times \exp : \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{2(m+\ell)} \mapsto X(\mathbb{C}),$$

and we consider  $\pi$ , its restriction to a fundamental domain  $\mathfrak{X}$ .  $\pi$  is then definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$  and the prespecial points are quadratic imaginaries – in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  – for the 2n first coordinates and (some) rational points for the next  $2(m + \ell)$ .

## The algebraic part

We will apply Pila-Wilkie counting theorem to  $\mathfrak{Z} = \pi^{-1}(Z(\mathbb{C}))$ . First, we need to determine its algebraic part.

We define the special Locus SpL(Z) to be the union of all positive dimensional weakly special subvarieties of Z, and we have the following:

Lemma (to be done next week)

$$\pi(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathsf{alg}}) = \mathsf{SpL}(Z).$$

It now suffices to prove that  $\mathfrak{Z}^{tr}$  contains only finitely many prespecial points; then by assumption the set of special points in SpL(Z) is dense in Z and we conclude.

Note: the proof of this lemma already uses Pila-Wilkie.

#### The transcendental part

We obtain an upper bound on the number of prespecial points in the transcendental part by the mean of Pila-Wilkie:

 $\#\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathsf{tr}}(\mathbb{Q},t)\leqslant Ct^{\epsilon}.$ 

On the other hand, we obtain a lower bound as follow:

Lemma (to be done next week)

If X is defined over a number field k, then there is a constant C = C(X, k) such that for any prespecial point  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ , we have:

 $[k(\pi(x)):k] \ge CH(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

This means that a given prespecial point will give rise to many others. If some prespecial point had big enough height, these lower and upper bounds would become incompatible; thus there is a bound on the height of prespecial points in  $\mathfrak{Z}^{tr}$ , so there must be only finitely many of them.

## To be continued

If you have any question or remark, don't hesitate!

- Joseph H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, GTM106, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- Jonathan Pila, *O-minimality and the André-Oort conjecture* for ℂ<sup>n</sup>, Ann. of Math. (2), 173(3) (2011), 1779–1840.
- Thomas Scanlon, O-minimality as an approach to the André-Oort conjecture, in: Around the Zilber-Pink conjecture, Panoramas et Synthèses, no. 52 (2017), 111–165.